

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 09/890,511 | 07/16/2003 | Maik Moebus | 0412-101P | 3494 |
| 22831 7590 02/20/2007 SCHWEITZER CORNMAN GROSS & BONDELL LLP 292 MADISON AVENUE - 19th FLOOR | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | CANFIELD, ROBERT | |
| NEW YORK, | NY 10017 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3635 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | • | 02/20/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Notice of Abandonment | 09/890,511 | MOEBUS, MAIK | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Robert J. Canfield | 3635 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ldress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of № period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | failing or Transmission dated) month(s)) which expired on | • | · |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does in | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper rep | ly, to the non- |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8) | | the statutory period | of three months |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | he publication fee, if required by 37 (| CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | · |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | t been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | eriod set in, the No | tice of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trans | smission dated |), which is |
| (b) \square No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the assi | gnee of the entire in | nterest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a represe | entative capacity ur | nder 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for see | king court review |
| 7. 🔀 The reason(s) below: | · | | |
| It is noted that the examiner called attorney to inquir was mailed 10/17/06 but the attorney had no eviden case was abandoned and suggested a petition to re- | ce of the reply having been sent. | aminer was inform The examiner st | ned that a reply ated that the |
| | | Robert J Canfield Primary Examine Art Unit: 3635 | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdrawninimize any negative effects on patent term. | w the holding of abandonment under 37 C | | promptly filed to |